IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF IOWA CENTRAL DIVISION

ST. PAUL FIRE AND MARINE INSURANCE COMPANY,)) Case No. 4:11-CV-00504 JAJ-TJS
Plaintiff,)
,) MOTION TO EXTEND TIME FOR
V.	DEFENDANT TO FILE RESPONSE
PELLA CORPORATION and PELLA WINDOWS AND DOORS, INC.,) TO PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT
Defendants.))

Defendants Pella Corporation and Pella Windows and Doors, Inc. (collectively, "Pella"), move the Court to extend the time for Pella to file its response to the Motion for Summary Judgment filed by Plaintiff St. Paul Fire and Marine Insurance Company ("St. Paul"). St. Paul filed a motion for summary judgment on November 30, 2011. Under applicable court rules, Pella currently must file its response to the motion by December 27, 2011. Pella's deadline to answer, move or otherwise respond to St. Paul's complaint is one week earlier on December 20, 2011. Pella intends on that date to make a jurisdictional motion which, if granted, will result in the Court declining to exercise jurisdiction over the substantive issues raised by St. Paul's premature motion for summary judgment. Accordingly, Pella requests that the Court extend the time for Pella to respond to the pending motion for summary judgment until a date after the Court hears and decides Pella's jurisdictional motion to dismiss.

The Advisory Committee Notes to the 2010 amendments to Fed. R. Civ. P. 56 state that "in many cases the motion [for summary judgment] will be premature until the nonmovant has had time to file a responsive pleading or other pretrial proceedings have been had." That is

precisely the situation presented here. Pella has filed an action captioned Pella Corporation and Pella Windows and Doors, Inc. v. American Casualty Company of Reading, PA, et al., in the Superior Court of the State of Delaware in and for New Castle County, C.A. No.: N11C-10-223 JRS [CCLD], in which Pella seeks an adjudication of its defense and/or indemnification rights under multiple insurance policies pertaining to the same matters at issue in this litigation. A copy of Pella's Delaware complaint is attached as Exhibit A. The Plaintiff in this case, St. Paul, and 13 other insurers are defendants in the Delaware action. Some of the insurers that are not parties to this action but are defendants in the Delaware action have policy language similar to that in St. Paul's policies. In the Delaware action Pella seeks to adjudicate its rights under the insurance policies in a uniform manner at the least possible cost to the parties and the courts. Because there is a lack of complete diversity of citizenship among the parties, no federal court could have jurisdiction of the Delaware action. Pella advises this Court that it intends to file by December 20, 2011, a motion to dismiss or to stay this proceeding, requesting that this Court abstain from exercising jurisdiction over this proceeding pending determination of the parties' respective rights in the Delaware action.

In light of Pella's anticipated motion, and as contemplated by the Advisory Committee Notes to Fed. R. Civ. P. 56, St. Paul's motion for summary judgment is premature until this Court determines whether it will exercise jurisdiction over this proceeding. If the Court grants Pella's motion to dismiss or stay this action, then no answer or responsive pleading will be due from Pella in this proceeding and accordingly, there will be no need for Pella to respond to St. Paul's Motion for Summary Judgment. St. Paul would be free to seek relief in the Delaware action. If, however, the Court denies Pella's motion to dismiss or stay this action, then Pella

anticipates the Court will set a deadline for Pella to file an Answer to the Complaint. In that event, Pella requests that its response to St. Paul's Motion for Summary Judgment be due 21 days after the date by which its Answer must be filed.

Pella has consulted with St. Paul's counsel concerning this motion, and has been advised that St. Paul will oppose the motion. St. Paul indicated a willingness to extend the deadline for responding to its motion, but opposes delaying briefing on the motion until after the Court resolves Pella's jurisdictional motion.

WHEREFORE, for the reasons set forth above, Pella requests that the Court extend Pella's deadline to respond to St. Paul's Motion for Summary Judgment until 21 days after any date by which Pella is required by this Court to file an Answer to the Complaint.

Respectfully Submitted,

BELIN McCORMICK, P.C.

By <u>/s/ Richard W. Lozier, Jr.</u> Richard W. Lozier, Jr.

666 Walnut Street, Suite 2000 Des Moines, Iowa 50309-3989 Telephone: (515) 283-4636 Facsimile: (515) 558-0636

Email: rwlozier@belinmccormick.com

KASOWITZ, BENSON, TORRES & FRIEDMAN LLP

Robin L. Cohen Keith McKenna 1633 Broadway New York, NY 10019

Telephone: (212) 506-1700 Facsimile: (212) 506-1800

Email: rcohen@kasowitz.com

kmckenna@kasowitz.com

ATTORNEYS FOR DEFENDANTS PELLA CORPORATION AND PELLA WINDOWS AND DOORS, INC.

PROOF OF SERVICE

The undersigned certifies that the foregoing instrument was
served upon the parties to this action by serving a copy upon each
of the attorneys listed below on December 7, 2011, by

□ U.S. Mail
□ FAX
□ Hand Delivered
□ Electronic Mail
□ FedEx/ Overnight Carrier
■ CM / ECF

Robert L. Fanter Ruth S. Kochenderfer Amos E. Hill Tara L. Goodwin

WHITFIELD & EDDY, P.L.C. STEPTOE & JOHNSON LLP 1317 Sixth Avenue, Ste. 1200 1330 Connecticut Ave., NW Des Moines, Iowa 50309 Washington, D.C. 20036

Signature:

/s/ L. A. Brustkern

BELIN\P0648\0004\pld Motion to Extend Time for MSJ Resistance rwl (01163777).DOC